

1 Scott A. McMillan, SBN 212506  
2 Michelle D. Volk, SBN 217151  
3 **The McMillan Law Firm, APC**  
4 4670 Nebo Dr., Suite 200  
5 La Mesa, CA 91941-5230  
6 Tel. 619-464-1500 x 14  
7 Fax. 206-600-5095  
8 Email: scott@mcmillanlaw.us

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
11 Lycurgan, Inc.

12 Daniel E. Butcher, SBN 144624  
13 **Office of the U.S. Attorney**  
14 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
15 San Diego, CA 92101  
16 Tel: (619) 546-7696  
17 Fax: (619) 546-7751  
18 Email: Daniel.Butcher@usdoj.gov

19 Attorneys for the United States

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

25 LYCURGAN, INC. d/b/a ARES  
26 ARMOR,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

B. TODD JONES, in his official  
capacity as Head of the San Diego  
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms  
and Explosives; and DOES 1-10,  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 14-CV-1679 JLS (BGS)  
9<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT No. 15-55228

**JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION  
OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
DISMISS PENDING DECISION IN  
THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR  
THE NINTH CIRCUIT.**

Judge: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino  
Dept.: 4A

On August 5, 2015, Defendant B. Todd Jones filed a Motion to Dismiss  
for Lack of Jurisdiction. [ECF 39] Thereafter, the Court issued an Order Setting  
Briefing Schedule on August 10, 2015 [ECF 40]. Plaintiff was ordered to file a  
response to the motion on or before September 3, 2015. Defendant's reply, if any,

1 was due on or before September 17, 2015. Thereafter, the parties jointly moved  
2 for further extension of the briefing schedule, which resulted in an opposition date  
3 of September 24, 2015, a reply date of October 8, 2015, and a hearing date of  
4 October 22, 2015. [ECF 43.]

5 As pointed out in the motion to dismiss, there is an appeal docketed in the  
6 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, case number 15-55228 arising from the dismissal,  
7 and subsequent denial of the new trial motion in the present case.

8 On August 18, 2015 the clerk of the court of appeal issued an order to show  
9 cause why the appeal should not be dismissed in light of the concurrent activity in  
10 the district court. The Court of Appeal ordered Lycurgan to choose a voluntary  
11 dismissal, or if not – a briefing why the court had jurisdiction in light of the filing  
12 of the amended complaint. [Doc. 41.] The Court of Appeal allowed the  
13 Government ten days after Lycurgan's briefing to respond.

14 On September 8, 2015, Lycurgan submitted its briefing in the Court of  
15 Appeal regarding appellate jurisdiction. The Government elected not to respond.  
16 Thus, the matter of appellate jurisdiction, which directly relates to the  
17 Government's motion to dismiss here, is before the Court of Appeal.

18 On September 24, 2015, the parties jointly moved for an extension of time  
19 to file the opposition in light of the pendency of the Court of Appeals decision.  
20 [Doc. 44.] On September 25, 2015, the court granted the motion. [Doc. 45.]

21 As of October 21, 2015, the Court of Appeal has not issued a ruling. The  
22 parties agree that the Court of Appeal's decision will potentially resolve the  
23 motion before the District Court.

24 Accordingly, the parties jointly move for an order extending the briefing  
25 schedule and hearing date on the Motion to Dismiss.

26       ///

27       ///

28

The parties therefore jointly move for a new briefing schedule as follows:

Response to Motion to Dismiss: November 19, 2015

Reply to Motion to Dismiss: December 3, 2015

## Hearing on Motion to Dismiss: **TBD**

Respectfully submitted,

## The McMillan Law Firm, APC

Dated: October 21, 2015

/s/ Scott A. McMillan

Scott A. McMillan  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

## Office of the U.S. Attorney

/s/ Daniel E. Butcher

**Daniel E. Butcher**  
Attorneys for the United States

Pursuant to Section 2(f)(4) of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, I certify that the content of this document is acceptable to counsel for the Defendant and that I have obtained authorization from Daniel E. Butcher to affix his electronic signature to this document.

/s/ Scott A. McMillan

Scott A. McMillan

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Scott A. McMillan, am a citizen of the United States, am over the age of eighteen, and not a party to the above entitled action. My business address is 4670 Nebo Drive, Suite 200, La Mesa, CA 91941-5230. I have caused service of the following documents:

**JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

By Electronic Court Service, upon:

U S Attorney CV Efile.dkt.civ@usdoj.gov

**Daniel Everett Butcher** Daniel.Butcher@usdoj.gov, efile.dkt.civ@usdoj.gov,  
yvette.macias@usdoj.gov

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on the date set forth below.

The McMillan Law Firm, APC

Dated: October 21, 2015

/s/ Scott A. McMillan

Scott A. McMillan  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Lycurgan, Inc.